

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

CARL WILLIAMS AND HASSAN ALEEM et al
Creditors/Objectors,

v

In re:
City OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN
AND EMERGENCY MANAGER
KEVYN D. ORR
Debtors/City of Detroit

Chapter 9
Case No. 13-53846
Judge Steven W Rhodes

Case No. 14-cv-10434
Hon. Bernard A. Friedman
Magistrate Paul J. Komives

U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
E.D. MICHIGAN DETROIT
2014 OCT 31 P 3:34

FILED (1)

**OBJECTION TO THE FILING OF REDLINED VERSION OF EIGHTH
AMENDED PLAN FOR THE ADJUSTMENT OF DEBTS OF THE CITY
OF DETROIT NONCOMPLIANCE OF THE OFFICIAL FORM 14
BALLOT AND THE VOTING PROCESS**

We/I OBJECT TO THE REDLINED VERISON OF THE EIGHTH AMENDED
PLAN OF ADJUSTMENT FOR THE DEBTS OF THE CITY OF DETROIT AND
CONFIRMATION OF THE PLAN, THERE WAS NO NOTICE, IT WAS AFTER
THE FACT, UNTIMELY, CONTRARY TO THE FACTS, MISREPRESENTATION
OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE AND RULES AND HAS NOT BEEN PRESENT
ED AND CONDUCED IN A GOOD FAITH MANNER.

We/I object to the filing of redlined version of the Eighth Amended
Plan of Adjustment for the debts of the city of Detroit and confirmation of any
Plan stemming from or connected to this plan of Adjustment and show the
following:

1) We object to section or number 38 regarding the ballot if it is counterfeit and is not an official form 14 ballot.

2) That the voting ballots were bias and kind of confusing because the way it was worded you only could vote yes. For example if you vote yes to accept the plan we will take or you will give up 20%. If you vote no to reject the plan we will take or you will give up 27%. Plus you give up your constitutional right to redress the government for grievances or plainly put it, the right to suit. Not to mention you give up your constitutional guarantee right of Article 9 section 24 of the Michigan State Constitution that protected your pensions. The way that it was worded you could not say no you didn't want the plan at all. In other words there was no "No" vote.

This is simply was "extortion" and "rigging the voting process through the voting ballots" and contrary to the Michigan Election Laws MCL 168.485 that states:

Any question submitted to the electors of this state or the electors of any subdivision of this state shall, to the extent that it will not confuse the electorate, be worded in the following manner: A "yes" vote will be a vote in favor of the subject matter of the proposal or issue and a "no" vote will be a vote against the subject matter of the proposal or issue. Question shall be worded so as to apprise the voters of the subject matter of the proposal or issue, but need not be legally precise. The language used shall create no prejudice for or against the issue or proposal.

3) We/I object to the plan of adjustment and confirmation to the plan, because the material included with the voting packages has some solicitation for a yes votes with letters from Shirley Lightsey a representative of the retiree committee, Donald Taylor president of Detroit retiree, Police and Fire Fighters on the behalf of the board of directors and the Emergency Manager, Kevyn Orr encouraging a Yes votes in violation of the Michigan Election Statute 168.485 (See attached a copy of the Michigan Election Statute 168.485 MEL) that states the language used shall not create no prejudice for or against the issue or proposal.

This doesn't secure or support a process that demonstrate the purity of the election process. The whole process has been fraudulent from the start when the Emergency Manager, Kevyn Orr impersonated an elected official when he filed for the bankruptcy. When the law states only a municipality and elected officials can file for bankruptcy. This clearly a violation of MEL 168.485, thus a 5th Amendment Procedure due process and 14th Amendment of equal protection of the law of the Constitution of the United States.

3) The counterfeit voting ballots was not a legal official form 14 ballot and fraudulent, due to the signatures was on a separate page from the voting box and could be easily manipulated and was not conducted to preserve the purity of the election process.

a) The Plan of Adjustment has been Amended seven or eight times and none of those plans have been voted on except the fourth Plan of Adjustment, which is null and void. Once you Amended the plan it supersedes any previous plan or plans and they are invalid and have no legal effect and no longer legally binding, only the last plan would be legally binding. There has been no vote on the fifth, sixth, seventh or the eighth plan of adjustment, therefore, no legal confirmation can exist without a vote. The only proper and legal way to rectify or correct the plan is to dismiss the case and start over.

This is a procedure due process of law violation of Article 1 Sections 2 and 17 of the Michigan State Constitution and the 5th and 14th Amendment of the United States Constitution. If the court was to approve the plan of adjustment under these circumstances without a vote the confirmation would be invalid.

When an state official violates its own law the court has rule this is an "state action." The action of Governor Rick Snyder through the Emergency Manager Kevyn Orr on the behalf of the state is the chief administrative officer of the State of Michigan, in the execution of his duty and the law constitutes "state action," within the purview of the Fourteenth Amendment.

That Amendment govern any action of the state, "whether through its

legislature, through its courts or its executive or administrative officers."

Mooney v Holohan 294 U.S. 103, 112-113, (1934) citing Carter v. Texas 177 U.S. 442, 447; Roger v Alabama, 192 U.S. 226, 233, 234.

If the Eighth Amended Plan of Adjustment is allowed and approved under these circumstance the whole process would be invalid.

I/We hereby certify that the statements made herein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, under penalty of perjury and contempt of Court under the laws of the United States of America.

Carl Williams

Carl Williams
10112 Somerset
Detroit, Michigan 48224
313 521-5012

Hassan Aleem

Hassan Aleem
2440 Taylor
Detroit, Michigan 48206
313 205-4353

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

2014 OCT 31 P 3-3u
U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
E.D. MICHIGAN-DETROIT
FILED (1)

CARL WILLIAMS AND HASSAN ALEEM
Creditors/Objectors,

v

In re:	Chapter 9
CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN	Case No. 13-53846
AND EMERGENCY MANAGER	Judge Steven W Rhodes
KEVYN D. ORR	
Debtor/City of Detroit	Case No. 14-cv-10434
	Hon. Bernard A. Friedman
	Magistrate Paul J. Komives

PROOF OF SERVICES

Carl Williams, being first duly sworn deposes and
your name

Say that on October 30 2014. I sent a copy of Objection to the filing of
redlined version of Eight Amended Plan for the Adjustment of Debts of the
City of Detroit noncompliance of the official form 14 ballot and the voting
process, Upon the concern parties by certified mail at the following address:

City of Detroit
Corporation Council
First National Building
600 Woodward Ave
Detroit, Michigan 48226

Emergency Manager
Kenyn Orr
Coleman A Young Municipal Center
2 Woodward 11th floor
Detroit, Michigan 48226

I/We hereby certify that the statements made herein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, under penalty of perjury and contempt of Court under the laws of the United States of America.

Sign Carl B Williams

Dated October 30, 2014